

Title: Does estradiol supplementation improve rotator cuff repair outcomes in post-menopausal women?

Authors: Peter Chalmers, Jennifer Wang, Christopher Joyce, Corrine Welt, Robert Tashjian

Introduction: Although rotator cuff tears (RCT) are one the most common musculoskeletal sources of disability, healing after rotator cuff repair (RCR) fails in >25% of cases. Estradiol deficiency is common in women undergoing RCR and estradiol deficiency is associated with worse outcomes at six months post-operatively. Thus, the purpose of this study was to determine whether estradiol supplementation among post-menopausal women is associated with better outcomes after RCR and we hypothesized that such an association would exist.

Methods: This is a retrospective study of patients who underwent RCR by the lead author over the age of 50, and thus presumed to be post-menopausal. For all patients, all medications were recorded the day of surgery by the anesthesiologist as part of their history and documented in their pre-anesthetic notes. These notes were reviewed to determine which patients were taking systemic estradiol supplementation at the time of surgery. All patients were contacted at two years post-operatively. At this point and pre-operatively, the subjective shoulder value (SSV), visual analogue scale for pain (VAS), and American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons Score were collected. Satisfaction and re-operation, as simple "yes/no" binary answers, were also collected.

Results: 254 women underwent RCR, of whom two-year outcomes were obtained in 184 (74%). 16% of these women were on estradiol supplementation at the time of surgery. There were no differences between groups in pre-operative SSV (43 ± 21 treated vs. 38 ± 22 untreated, $p=0.21$), VAS (5.6 ± 2.3 vs. 5.7 ± 2.3 , $p=0.77$), or ASES scores (46 ± 20 vs. 44 ± 19 , $p=0.738$). However, patients who were post-menopausal and being treated with estradiol at the time of surgery had significantly better VAS (1.2 ± 2.1 vs. 0.3 ± 0.8 , $p<0.001$) and SSV scores (95 ± 10 vs. 87 ± 16 , $p=0.003$) than those who were not being treated with estradiol at 2-years postoperatively. They also had higher satisfaction (96% vs. 92%, $p=0.690$), higher ASES scores (87 ± 17 vs. 94 ± 11 , $p=0.146$), and lower re-operation rates (8% vs. 16%, $p=0.150$), although these differences were not statistically significant.

Conclusion: Estradiol supplementation associates with better SSV and VAS scores in post-menopausal women undergoing RCR.