

Title: Complications of Capitellum Fracture Management Based on Screw Direction: A Retrospective Comparative Study

Authors: Areeb Ahmad, Kassem Ghayyad, Abdullah Taha, Daryl C. Osbahr, G. Russell Huffman, Luke S. Oh, Amir R. Kachooei

Institution: Rothman Orthopaedics Florida at AdventHealth

Introduction: Capitellum fractures are rare, comprising less than 1% of elbow fractures, and are commonly treated with open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF). While anteroposterior (AP) and posteroanterior (PA) screw fixation (SF) are both used, their impact on complication rates and functional outcomes remains unclear. This retrospective study compares complications and postoperative range of motion (ROM) between AP and PA SF to determine the effect of screw direction on clinical outcomes.

Methods: A retrospective review of 65 patients treated for capitellum fractures with headed or headless screws was conducted at a single institution (2014–2024). Fractures extending into the trochlea or with simultaneous AP and PA screw placement were excluded. Outcomes assessed included heterotopic ossification (HO), avascular necrosis (AVN), arthritis, nerve injury, reoperation rates, and postoperative ROM.

Results: HO occurred more frequently with AP screws (26%) than PA screws (5.3%), trending toward significance ($P = 0.087$). Among patients in the AP ($n = 46$) and PA ($n = 19$) groups, there were no statistically significant differences in rates of avascular necrosis (7% vs. 0%), reoperation (4% vs. 10%), post-traumatic arthritis (4% vs. 0%), elbow contracture (2% vs. 5%), radiocapitellar gap (4% vs. 0%), nerve injury (2% vs. 0%), or capitellum collapse (7% vs. 11%). However, PA screws demonstrated superior postoperative supination (90° vs. 86° , $P = 0.04$) and pronation (90° vs. 87° , $P = 0.04$), while flexion and extension were similar.

Conclusion: AP and PA SF had comparable complication rates, though PA screws may offer some advantage in minimizing HO. Although forearm rotation differed significantly, the 7° difference is not clinically significant and may relate to routine lateral collateral ligament release and repair during AP screw placement. Ultimately, the decision regarding screw direction should be individualized based on fracture pattern, preoperative imaging, ease of reduction, surgeon training, and comfort with the surgical approach.

References

1. Morrey BF. The elbow and its disorders. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2009. (ISBN No. 1416029028)
2. Baydar M, Aykut S, Mert M, Keskinbiçki MV, Akdeniz HE, Öztürk K. Isolated capitellar fracture fixation with headless screws in different configurations. *Acta Ortop Bras* 2022;30(1):e244357. doi:10.1590/1413-785220223001e244357